

Title**Axillary/Subclavian vs Femoral Arterial Cannulation for Peripheral VA-ECMO in Cardiogenic Shock: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis****Authors:**

Saif-Eddin Dabour, MD; Diya Asad, MD; Elmustafa Hamad; Abdullah Kouli; Muhammad Mian, MD; Sana Tahir, MD; Matthew Frank, MD; Waqas Ullah, MD, FSCAI

Background:

Femoral arterial cannulation is the default peripheral access strategy for venoarterial extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (VA-ECMO) in many centers, but it may increase the risk of lower-extremity ischemia and access-site complications. Whether axillary/subclavian inflow is associated with different clinical outcomes in adults receiving peripheral VA-ECMO for cardiogenic shock remains uncertain.

Methods:

We performed a systematic review and meta-analysis of retrospective comparative cohort studies evaluating axillary/subclavian versus femoral arterial cannulation for peripheral VA-ECMO in adult cardiogenic shock. PubMed/MEDLINE, Embase, and Cochrane were searched through March 8, 2026. Two reviewers independently screened studies, extracted data, and assessed risk of bias using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale. Random-effects meta-analyses were performed using Mantel-Haenszel risk ratios (RRs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs).

Results:

Five studies comprising 1,256 patients were included. In the primary analysis, axillary/subclavian cannulation was not associated with a significant difference in in-hospital mortality compared with femoral cannulation (RR 1.10, 95% CI 0.89–1.37; $P=0.37$; $I^2=55\%$). Cannulation-related limb ischemia numerically favored axillary/subclavian access but was not significantly different and showed substantial heterogeneity (RR 0.37, 95% CI 0.07–2.02; $P=0.25$; $I^2=83\%$). No significant associations were observed for ischemic stroke (RR 1.27, 95% CI 0.84–1.92; $P=0.26$; $I^2=0\%$), successful ECMO weaning/explantation (RR 0.90, 95% CI 0.65–1.26; $P=0.55$; $I^2=67\%$), or clinically significant bleeding (RR 1.27, 95% CI 0.90–1.79; $P=0.17$; $I^2=54\%$). Mortality findings were directionally consistent in sensitivity analyses.

Conclusions:

In currently available retrospective comparative cohorts, axillary/subclavian versus femoral arterial cannulation for peripheral VA-ECMO in adult cardiogenic shock was not associated with a significant difference in in-hospital mortality or other pooled clinical outcomes. Although upper-extremity inflow was directionally associated with less limb ischemia, the estimate was imprecise and highly heterogeneous, and current data do not support a preferred access strategy.